

## Regression?

---



2010 and the first round of demolition at the Ex Monsanto works with the Air Products work in the background and north the tree lining of King Street.

## Regression?

---



Looking along King Street before the carnage of the tree screening in 2014 & 15.



## Regression?

---



The same scene in 2015, does it really look better? Perhaps we should have kept the trees and got rid of this awful steel barrier fencing for wood an post fencing which would have allowed people to see out across the valley without detracting from the environment.



## Regression?

---



Trees are a valuable asset to our community to promote tourism and make the place look better. Many were planted as a part of the Plant a Tree campaign in the 1980's and the stumps here tell us these trees were in the region of 30 odd years old, it will take another 30 years to grow them again. This is not working together for a better future, and has disregard for the environment.



## Regression?

---



The site is bad enough anyway, why is Eastman's making it worse, this is not helpful for our community and WCBC should be asking question?  
This is Jessop's Tramway, a very important historical tramway buried under spoil dragged down the hill, what is going on?



## Regression?

---



More vandalism by Eastman's in their site, why are they making it so unpleasant, why are they being allowed to get away with it?



## Regression?

---



The corrugated steel sheet fencing looks really good next to the Aqueduct and Dee Valley AONB, whatever happened to the Buffer Zone and the supposed restriction to behaviour like this, or don't the same rules apply to multinationals?



## Regression?

---



Just carry on hacking the trees down who cares about what the place looks like!



## Regression?

---



July 2015, the tree screening removed by Eastman's and the new art work in 2014 that cost £40,000.00. This is now almost falling off the fence is a mess and was a complete waste of money and is not exactly dragging the tourists in. Which just goes to prove yet again we need to think about what we are doing and look at things that will work, for instance the spend here would have gone a long way to removing some of the fencing and opening up WBG which would have worked and not been falling apart 2 years later.



## Regression?

---



The same scene in July 2013 before Eastman's cut the trees down which acted as an effective screen barrier making this section of Jessop's Tramway look reasonable.

We seem to be going backwards here and spending a lot of money doing so. What is going on?

Tree screening is effective and makes the place look a lot better at minimal cost, while actually helping with the environment. This has to be the way things should be if we are to move to a lower carbon economy.



## Regression?

---



Killing the existing tree screening is not helping either; here we can see the old warehouse site has been re-exposed by cutting down the tree screening



## Regression?



Another view of the old warehouse site for everyone to see after removal of tree screening, this will put people off, miles of palisade fencing



## Regression?

---



The PKC Group were hoping to reuse weighbridge gate house for public toilets after conversion as in their 2012 planning application as this would have reused an existing building but unfortunately Eastman's demolished the building in 2015.



## Regression?

---



Where the weighbridge gatehouse and potential toilet block was, this is going backwards not forwards and does not comply with WPP.